arXiv:0801.1923v1 [math.DG] 12 Jan 2008

BI-HERMITIAN GRAY SURFACES II.

Wlodzimierz Jelonek

Abstract. The aim of this paper is to classify bi-Hermitian compact surfaces (M,g) whose Ricci tensor ρ satisfies the relation $\nabla_X\rho(X,X)=\frac{1}{3}X\tau g(X,X)$.

This paper I dedicate to the memory of Alfred Gray.

0. Introduction. Let (M,g) be a Riemannian manifold with the Ricci tensor ρ which satisfies the condition

$$(*)\qquad \nabla_X\rho(X,X)=\frac{2}{n+2}X\tau g(X,X)$$

where τ is the scalar curvature of (M,g) and $n=$dim$M$. There are many interesting manifolds which satisfy (*). Among them are (compact) Einstein-Weyl manifolds, weakly self-dual Kähler surfaces (see [J-1],[J-2] and [A-C-G]) and D'Atri spaces. The property (*) was studied by A. Gray in [G] (see also [Be] p.433). A. Gray called Riemannian manifolds satisfying $(*)$ the $\mathcal{A}C^{\perp}$ manifolds. In [J-1] we showed that every Kähler surface has a harmonic anti-self- dual part W^- of the Weyl tensor W (i.e. such that $\delta W^-=0$) if and only if it is an $\mathcal{A}C^{\perp}$-manifold. In [J-1] we have also showed that any simply connected 4-dimensional $\mathcal{A}C^{\perp}$-manifold (P,g) , whose Ricci tensor has exactly two eigenvalues of multiplicity 2, admits two opposite to each other Hermitian structures which commute with the Ricci tensor.

It is not difficult to prove that a compact 4-manifold with even first Betti number admitting two opposite to each other Hermitian structures $J,\bar{J}$ which commute with the Ricci tensor ρ of (P,g) is a ruled surface or is locally a product of two Riemannian surfaces [see [J-2]). In [J-2] we have given the example of a Kähler $\mathcal{A}C^{\perp}$-metric on a Hirzebruch surface F_1 (which was also independently constructed in [A-C-G]) and in [J-3] we have constructed families of bi-Hermitian Gray surfaces on all the Hirzebruch surfaces F_k. These are, apart from two exceptional families of metrics on F_1 and one exceptional family on F_2, all co-homogeneity one bi-Hermitian Gray metrics on ruled surfaces of genus $g=0$.

The aim of the present paper is to describe compact $\mathcal{A}C^{\perp}$-4-manifolds (M,g) with non-constant scalar curvature, admitting two oppositely definite Hermitian structures $J,\bar{J}$ commuting with the Ricci tensor of (M,g). We shall call such surfaces the bi-Hermitian Gray surfaces. Surfaces which admit two oppositely oriented complex structures will be called the bi-Hermitian surfaces. We should warn the reader that the notion of a bi-Hermitian surface has been recently used also in the different context (see [A-G-G] where a bi-Hermitian surface means a surface admitting two positively oriented Hermitian structures). We show in the present

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

paper that compact bi-Hermitian Gray surfaces with non constant scalar curvature and even first Betti number are ruled surfaces which (at least if their genus $g \geq 1$) are local cohomogeneity 1 with respect to the local group of all local isometries of (P, g). We shall classify all bi-Hermitian Gray surfaces which are ruled surfaces of genus $g > 0$. At first we shall show that there exists an open and dense subset U of P such that $U = (a, b) \times P_0$ where P_0 is a three dimensional $\mathcal{A}$-manifold which is a circle bundle over a compact Riemann surface of constant sectional curvature. Consequently if P_0 is not a trivial bundle then it coincides with the space G/Γ where G is one of the groups:$SU(2), H, \widetilde{SL(2,\mathbb{R})}$ where H means the Heisenberg group and Γ is a discrete subgroup of $Iso(G)$. Using the methods of B. Bergery (see [B],[S]) we reduce the problem to a certain ODE of the second order. We shall find all positive solutions of these equations satisfying the appropriate boundary conditions. In this way we classify compact bi-Hermitian Gray surfaces of genus $g > 0$ and also give new examples of compact 4-dimensional $\mathcal{A}C^{\perp}$-manifolds (problem of finding such manifolds was stated in [Be] p.433). In the last section of the paper we describe in an explicit way co-homogeneity one $\mathcal{A}C^{\perp}$ - metrics on $\mathbb{CP}^2$ whose Ricci tensor is invariant with respect to the standard complex structure J of $\mathbb{CP}^2$ and such that the opposite Hermitian structure $\bar{J}$ is defined on $\mathbb{CP}^2 - \{x_0\}$ for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{CP}^2$.

1. Hermitian 4-manifolds. Let (M, g, J) be an almost Hermitian manifold, i.e. (M, g) is a Riemannian manifold and $J : TM \rightarrow TM$ satisfies $J^2 = -id_{TM}$ and $g(JX, JY) = g(X, Y)$ for all $X, Y \in TM$. We say that (M, g, J) is a Hermitian manifold if its almost Hermitian structure J is integrable, i.e. J is an orthogonal complex structure. In the sequel we shall consider 4-dimensional Hermitian manifolds (M, g, J) which we shall also call Hermitian surfaces. Such manifolds are always oriented and we choose an orientation in such a way that the Kähler form $\Omega(X, Y) = g(JX, Y)$ is a self-dual form (i.e. $\Omega \in \wedge^+ M$). The vector bundle of self-dual forms admits a decomposition

$$\wedge^+ M = \mathbb{R}\Omega \oplus LM, \tag{1.1}$$

where by LM we denote the bundle of real J-skew invariant 2-forms (i.e $LM = \{\Phi \in \wedge M : \Phi(JX, JY) = -\Phi(X, Y)\}$). The bundle LM is a complex line bundle over M with the complex structure $\mathcal{J}$ defined by $(\mathcal{J}\Phi)(X, Y) = -\Phi(JX, Y)$. For a 4-dimensional Hermitian manifold the covariant derivative of the Kähler form Ω is locally expressed by

$$\nabla\Omega = a \otimes \Phi + \mathcal{J}a \otimes \mathcal{J}\Phi, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\mathcal{J}a(X) = -a(JX)$. The Lee form θ of (M, g, J) is defined by the equality

$$d\Omega = \theta \wedge \Omega \tag{1.3}$$

We have $\theta = -\delta\Omega \circ J$. A Hermitian manifold (M, g, J) is said to have Hermitian Ricci tensor ρ if $\rho(X, Y) = \rho(JX, JY)$ for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. An opposite (almost) Hermitian structure on a Hermitian 4-manifold (M, g, J) is an (almost) Hermitian structure $\overline{J}$ whose Kähler form (with respect to g) is anti-self-dual.

A distribution $\mathcal{D} \subset TM$ is called umbilical if $\nabla_X X_{|\mathcal{D}^{\perp}} = g(X, X)\xi$ for every $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$, where $X_{|\mathcal{D}^{\perp}}$ is the $\mathcal{D}^{\perp}$ component of X with respect to the orthogonal decomposition $TM = \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{\perp}$. The vector field ξ is called the mean curvature normal of $\mathcal{D}$. An involutive distribution $\mathcal{D}$ is tangent to a foliation, which is called totally geodesic if its every leaf is a totally geodesic submanifold of (M, g) i.e. $\nabla_X X \in \mathcal{D}$ if X is a section of a vector bundle $\mathcal{D} \subset TM$. In the sequel we shall not distinguish between $\mathcal{D}$ and a tangent foliation and we shall also say that $\mathcal{D}$ is totally geodesic in such a case.

On any Hermitian non-Kähler 4-manifold (M, g, J) there are two natural distributions $\mathcal{D} = \{X \in TM : \nabla_X J = 0\}$, $\mathcal{D}^{\perp}$ defined in the open set $U = \{x : |\nabla J_x| \neq 0\}$. The distribution $\mathcal{D}$ we shall call the nullity distribution of (M, g, J). From (1.2) it is clear that $\mathcal{D}$ is J-invariant and that $\dim\mathcal{D} = 2$ in $U = \{x \in M : \nabla J_x \neq 0\}$. By $\mathcal{D}^{\perp}$ we shall denote the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{D}$ in U. On U we can define the opposite almost Hermitian structure $\bar{J}$ by formulas $\bar{J}X = JX$ if $X \in \mathcal{D}^{\perp}$ and $\bar{J}X = -JX$ if $X \in \mathcal{D}$ which we shall call natural opposite almost Hermitian structure. It is not difficult to check that for the famous Einstein Hermitian manifold $\mathbb{CP}^2 \sharp \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$ with Page metric (see [P],[B],[S],[K],[LeB]) the opposite structure $\bar{J}$ is Hermitian and this structure extends to the global opposite Hermitian structure.

A ruled surface of genus g is a complex surface X admitting a ruling, i.e. an analytically locally trivial fibration with fibre $\mathbb{C}P^1$ and structural group $PGL(2, \mathbb{C})$ over a smooth compact complex curve (a Riemannian surface) of genus g.

By an $\mathcal{A}C^{\perp}$- manifold (see [G]) we mean a Riemannian manifold (M, g) satisfying the condition

$$\mathfrak{C}_{XYZ} \nabla_X \rho(Y, Z) = \frac{2}{(\dim M + 2)} \mathfrak{C}_{XYZ} X\tau g(Y, Z), \tag{1.4}$$

where ρ is the Ricci tensor of (M, g) and $\mathfrak{C}$ means the cyclic sum. A Riemannian manifold (M, g) is an $\mathcal{A}C^{\perp}$ manifold if and only if the Ricci endomorphism Ric of (M, g) is of the form $Ric = S + \frac{2}{n+2}\tau Id$ where S is a Killing tensor, τ is the scalar curvature and $n = \dim M$. Let us recall that a (1,1) tensor S on a Riemannian manifold (M, g) is called a Killing tensor if $g(\nabla S(X, X), X) = 0$ for all $X \in TM$. Let us recall a result from [J-1]:

Lemma 0. *Let S be a Killing tensor on a 4-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g). Let us assume that S has two 2-dimensional oriented eigendistributions D_1, D_2. Then there exist two opposite Hermitian complex structures $J, \bar{J}$ on M which commute with S.*

It is not difficult to prove the following lemmas:

Lemma 1. *Let $S \in End(TM)$ be a (1,1) tensor on a Riemannian 4-manifold (M, g). Let us assume that S has exactly two everywhere different eigenvalues λ, μ of the same multiplicity 2, i.e. dim $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$= dim $\mathcal{D}_\mu = 2$, where $\mathcal{D}_\lambda, \mathcal{D}_\mu$ are eigendistributions of S corresponding to λ, μ respectively. Then S is a Killing tensor if and only if both distributions $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$ and $\mathcal{D}_\mu$ are umbilical with mean curvature normal equal respectively*

$$\xi_\lambda = \frac{\nabla\mu}{2(\lambda - \mu)}, \ \xi_\mu = \frac{\nabla\lambda}{2(\mu - \lambda)}.$$

Lemma 2. *Let (M,g) be a 4-dimensional Riemannian manifolds whose Ricci tensor ρ has two eigenvalues $\lambda(x),\mu(x)$ of the same multiplicity 2 at every point x of M. Let us assume that the eigendistribution $\mathcal{D}_\lambda=\mathcal{D}$ corresponding to λ is a totally geodesic foliation and the eigendistribution $\mathcal{D}_\mu=\mathcal{D}^\perp$ corresponding to μ is umbilical. Then (M,g) is an $\mathcal{A}C^\perp$-manifold if and only if $\lambda-2\mu$ is constant and $\nabla\tau\in\Gamma(\mathcal{D})$. The distributions $\mathcal{D},\mathcal{D}^\perp$ determine two Hermitian structures $J,\bar{J}$ which are opposite to each other and commute with ρ. Both structures $J,\bar{J}$ are Hermitian complex and $\mathcal{D}$ is contained in the nullity of J and $\bar{J}$.*

In the sequel we shall need the following two lemmas.

Lemma A. *Let assume that (M,g,J) is a compact Hermitian c.K. surface with Hermitian Ricci tensor ρ. If ζ is a holomorphic Killing vector field on (M,g,J), then $\theta(\zeta)=0$, where θ is a Lee form of (M,g,J).*

Proof. Let Ω be a Kähler form of (M,g,J). Then $L_\zeta\Omega=0$. Since $d\Omega=\theta\wedge\Omega$ and $d\theta=0$ it follows that $0=d(L_\zeta\Omega)=L_\zeta(d\Omega)=L_\zeta(\theta\wedge\Omega)=L_\zeta(\theta)\wedge\Omega$. Thus $L_\zeta(\theta)=0$. Consequently $d(\theta(\zeta))=0$. It follows that $\theta(\zeta)$ is constant on M, and consequently equals 0, since the set $\{x\in M:|\theta|_x=0\}$ is non-empty (see [J-4]).$\diamondsuit$

Lemma B. *Let us assume that S is a Killing tensor on four dimensional manifold (M,g) with two eigenvalues everywhere distinct and with two-dimensional oriented eigen-distributions. Let $J,\bar{J}$ be Hermitian structures on (M,g) determined by S. Let ξ be a Killing vector field on (M,g) such that $L_\xi S=L_\xi J=L_\xi\bar{J}=0$ and $\nabla_\xi J=\nabla_\xi\bar{J}=0$. Then $S\xi$ is a Killing vector field on (M,g).*

Proof. Let us define $TX:=\nabla_X\xi$. Then $T\circ J=J\circ T$ and analogously $T\circ\bar{J}=\bar{J}\circ T$. Let us define $p=J\circ\bar{J}$. It is clear that $p\circ T=T\circ p$. Consequently

$$S\circ T=T\circ S. \tag{1.5}$$

From (1.5) we obtain $\nabla_\xi S=0$. Now we shall show that a field $\zeta=S\xi$ is Killing. We have

$$g(\nabla_X\zeta,X)=g(\nabla S(X,\xi),X)+g(S(\nabla_X\xi),X)=$$
$$=-\frac{1}{2}g(\nabla_\xi S(X),X)+g(STX,X)=g(STX,X)=0$$

since $2g(\nabla S(X,\xi),X)+g(\nabla_\xi S(X),X)=0$ because S is a Killing tensor and

$$g(STX,X)=g(TSX,X)=-g(TX,SX)=-g(STX,X).\diamondsuit$$

We also have (see [J-4])

Theorem 0. *Let us assume that (M,g,J) is a compact conformally Kähler non-Kähler Hermitian surface and let (M,g_0,J) be a Kähler surface in the conformal class $(M,[g],J)$. Then both $(M,g,J),(M,g_0,J)$ admit a holomorphic Killing vector field ξ with zeros, such that $\nabla_\xi J=0$, where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of (M,g). Moreover $\xi=J\overline{\nabla}u$ where $\overline{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection of (M,g_0), u is a positive, smooth function on M such that $g=u^{-2}g_0$ and we have*

$$\nabla_{\xi_0}\xi_0=-\nabla\alpha+\alpha J\xi_0,\qquad g_0(\xi,\xi)=\alpha^2,\qquad \theta(X)=-2\alpha g(J\xi_0,X) \tag{1.4}$$

where $\xi_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g(\xi,\xi)}}\xi$ *is defined in* $U = \{x : \xi_x \neq 0\}$ *and* $\alpha = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{g(\nabla J, \nabla J)}$. *Killing vector field* ξ *belongs to the center* $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{iso}(M))$ *of the Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{iso}(M)$ *of the group of isometries* $Iso(M)$ *of* (M, g).

2. Bi-Hermitian Gray surfaces. Let us assume that (M, g) is a compact irreducible 4-dimensional $\mathcal{A}C^{\perp}$-manifold whose Ricci tensor has two eigenvalues λ, μ. The following definition we shall use in he sequel.

Definition. Bi-Hermitian Gray surface is an irreducible $\mathcal{A}C^{\perp}$ 4-manifold (M, g), which admits two different Hermitian complex structures $J, \bar{J}$ of opposite orientation which commute with the Ricci tensor ρ of (M, g).

Let ∇ be a Levi-Civita connection and ρ the Ricci tensor of (M, g). We say that (M, g) is a proper bi-Hermitian Gray surface if $\nabla\rho \neq 0$ or equivalently if the scalar curvature τ of (M, g) is non-constant. We shall assume in the sequel that (M, g) is a bi-Hermitian Gray surface with even first Betti number ($b_1(M)$ is even). Due to the results of Apostolov and Gauduchon [A-G-1] it follows that both Hermitian surfaces (M, g, J) and $(M, g, \bar{J})$ are locally conformally Kähler, hence they are both conformally Kähler due to a result by I. Vaisman [V]. Thus there exist a Kähler surface $(M, \bar{g}, J)$ and a Kähler surface $(M, \bar{g}_1, \bar{J})$ which are conformally equivalent to $(M, \bar{g})$ where $\bar{g}_1 = h^2 g, \bar{g} = f^2 g$ and f, h are some smooth functions on M. In our paper [J-1] we have proved that an oriented 4-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) admitting a Killing tensor $S \in End(TM)$ with exactly two eigenvalues λ, μ everywhere distinct admits (up to two-fold covering) two Hermitian structures commuting with S and oppositely oriented (see Prop.3 in [J-1] and Lemma 0 in the present paper). Hence every 4-dimensional $\mathcal{A}C^{\perp}$-manifold, whose Ricci tensor has two everywhere distinct eigenvalues admits (up to four fold covering) two oppositely oriented Hermitian structures commuting with the Ricci tensor ρ of (M, g). Now we prove

Proposition 1. *Let us assume that* (M, g) *is a compact irreducible bi-Hermitian Gray surface with even first Betti number. Then* (M, g) *is an Einstein Hermitian manifold* $\mathbb{CP}^2 \sharp \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$ *with D. Page's metric or the eigenvalues of the Ricci tensor of* (M, g) *are everywhere distinct.*

Proof. Let us denote by $J, \bar{J}$ the opposite Hermitian structures on (M, g) such that $S \circ J = J \circ S$,$S \circ \bar{J} = \bar{J} \circ S$ where S is the Ricci tensor of (M, g). Let $\{E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4\}$ be a local orthonormal frame on (M, g) such that $E_1, E_2 \in \mathcal{D}_\lambda$, $E_3, E_4 \in \mathcal{D}_\mu$ where $\mathcal{D}_\lambda, \mathcal{D}_\mu$ are eigensubbundles of S_0 and

$$JE_1 = E_2, \bar{J}E_1 = E_2, JE_3 = E_4, \bar{J}E_3 = -E_4.$$

Since (M, g) is an $\mathcal{A}C^{\perp}$-manifold it follows that $S = S_0 + \frac{\tau}{3} Id$ where S_0 is a Killing tensor on (M, g) (we identify $(1, 1), (2, 0), (0, 2)$ tensors on (M, g) by means of g). From [J-1] (2.21) it follows that

$$\text{(2.1a)}\quad (\mu - \lambda)(\nabla J(E_1, E_1) + \nabla J(E_2, E_2)) = -J(\nabla\lambda) + (S_0 - \lambda)([E_1, E_2]),$$

$$\text{(2.1b)}\quad (\lambda - \mu)(\nabla J(E_3, E_3) + \nabla J(E_4, E_4)) = -J(\nabla\mu) + (S_0 - \mu)([E_3, E_4]),$$

where λ, μ are eigenvalues of S_0. Consequently

$$(\mu - \lambda)(tr_g \nabla J) = J(\nabla\mu - \nabla\lambda) + (S_0 - \lambda Id)([E_1, E_2]) - (S_0 - \mu Id)([E_3, E_4]).$$

Thus

$$(tr_g\nabla J)=J(\nabla\ln|\mu-\lambda|)+[E_1,E_2]_\mu+[E_3,E_4]_\lambda, \tag{2.2}$$

where by X_λ, X_μ we mean the components of $X\in TM$ with respect to the decomposition $TM=\mathcal{D}_\lambda\oplus\mathcal{D}_\mu$. Hence in the set $U=\{x\in M:\lambda(x)\neq\mu(x)\}$ the following relation holds

$$-J(tr_g\nabla J)=\nabla\ln|\mu-\lambda|-J([E_1,E_2]_\mu+[E_3,E_4]_\lambda).$$

Analogously one can prove that in U

$$-\bar{J}(tr_g\nabla\bar{J})=\nabla\ln|\mu-\lambda|-\bar{J}([E_1,E_2]_\mu-[E_3,E_4]_\lambda).$$

The above equations yield that in U

$$\theta+\bar{\theta}=2d\ln|\mu-\lambda|, \tag{2.3}$$

where $\theta,\bar{\theta}$ are the Lee forms of $(M,g,J),(M,g,\bar{J})$ respectively. Since $b_1(M)$ is even it follows that both surfaces $(M,g,J),(M,g,\bar{J})$ are conformally Kähler. It means that there exist smooth, positive functions $f,h\in C^\infty(M)$ such that (M,f^2g,J), $(M,h^2g,\bar{J})$ are Kähler. Consequently $\theta=-2d\ln f,\bar{\theta}=-2d\ln h$. Thus there exists a constant $C\in\mathbb{R}-\{0\}$ such that

$$fh=\frac{C}{\lambda-\mu}. \tag{2.4}$$

Now f,h are globally defined, smooth functions on M hence they are bounded. It follows that $U=M$ or $U=\emptyset$. Since (M,g) is irreducible it follows that in the second case (M,g) is $\mathbb{CP}^2\sharp\overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$ with D. Page's metric. (see [LeB])$\diamondsuit$

Remark. It is not difficult using the methods from [J-3] to construct Hermitian $\mathcal{A}C^\perp$-metrics on $\mathbb{CP}^2$, with two eigenvalues which coincide in exactly one point. We shall give the appropriate examples in the last section of the paper. These metrics are not bi-Hermitian, one of the complex structures does not extend to the whole of $\mathbb{CP}^2$, the other one extends to the standard complex structure on $\mathbb{CP}^2$. In fact $\mathbb{CP}^2$ does not admit opposite complex structures.

Proposition 2. *Let us assume that (M,g) is a bi-Hermitian Gray surface with Hermitian complex structures $J,\bar{J}$. If ξ is a Killing vector field on (M,g) such that $\nabla_\xi J=\nabla_\xi\bar{J}=0$ then $S_0\xi$ is a Killing vector field, where S_0 is a Killing tensor associated with ρ, i.e. $\rho(X,Y)=g(S_0X,Y)+\frac{\tau}{3}g(X,Y)$.*

Proof. Let S_ρ be the Ricci endomorphism of (M,g) i.e. $\rho(X,Y)=g(S_\rho X,Y)$. Then $S_\rho=S_0+\frac{\tau}{3}$. Since $L_\xi S_\rho=0$ and $L_\xi\tau=0$ it is clear that $L_\xi S=0$. Both $J,\bar{J}$ are determined only by S_ρ and g thus $L_\xi J=L_\xi\bar{J}=0$. Thus the result follows from Lemma B.$\diamondsuit$

Proposition 3. *Let us assume that (M,g,J) is a compact Hermitian surface with Hermitian Ricci tensor whose group of (real) holomorphic isometries has a principal orbit of dimension 3. Then the natural opposite structure $\bar{J}$ is Hermitian i.e. complex and orthogonal.*

Proof. Let θ be the Lee form of (M,g,J). Then $|\theta| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|\nabla J|$. If ζ is a holomorphic Killing vector field then $\theta(\zeta) = 0$. It is also clear that $d|\theta|^2(\zeta) = 0$. Consequently in an open and dense subset U of M we have $d|\theta|^2 = f\theta$ for some function $f \in C^\infty(U)$. The result is now clear in view of [J-4], Lemma F.$\diamondsuit$

Our next corollary describes bi-Hermitian Gray surfaces of genus 0, i.e. holomorphic $\mathbb{CP}^1$ bundles over $\mathbb{CP}^1$, which are of cohomogeneity 1 with respect to the group of real holomorphic isometries.

Corollary. *Let us assume that (M,g,J) is a compact proper bi-Hermitian Gray surface whose group of (real) holomorphic isometries has a principal orbit of dimension 3. Then the vector field ξ coincides with η up to a constant factor, the distribution $\mathcal{D}$ spanned by $\xi, J\xi$ is contained in the nullity of both $J, \bar{J}$ and $\bar{J}$ is the natural opposite structure for J. The distribution $\mathcal{D}$ coincides with one of eigendistributions of the Ricci tensor S.*

Proof. Let us assume that (M,g) is not conformally flat. It means that $|W| \neq 0$. Consequently there exists an open subset $U \in M$ such that (up to a change of orientation) $W^- \neq 0$ on U. It means that the natural opposite structure for J, which is Hermitian in view of Prop.4, coincides in U up to a sign with $\bar{J}$ as the only simple eigenvalue of W^-. Thus the result of M. Pontecorvo (Prop. 1.3. in [Po]) says that these two structures coincide (up to a sign), everywhere where the opposite natural structure to J is defined. Consequently the nullity $\mathcal{D}$ of J coincides with the nullity of $\bar{J}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ is one of eigendistributions of the Ricci tensor ρ of (M,g). Since $\theta(\xi) = \theta(\eta) = 0$ it follows that $\xi = c\eta$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$.

If $W = 0$ then (M,g) is conformally equivalent to the product $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \Sigma_g$ where Σ_g is a Riemannian surface of genus $g > 0$ and both $\Sigma_g, \mathbb{CP}^1$ have standard metrics with constant opposite sectional curvatures which finishes the proof.$\diamondsuit$

Let us denote by $\overline{\nabla}, \nabla, \nabla^1$ the Levi-Civita connections with respect to the metrics $\bar{g}, g, \bar{g}_1$ respectively. We have

$$\rho = \bar{\rho} + 2f^{-1}\nabla df - f^{-2}(f\Delta f + 3|\nabla f|^2)\bar{g}, \tag{2.5}$$

where $\rho, \bar{\rho}$ are the Ricci tensors of $(P,g), (P,\bar{g})$ respectively. The field $\xi = J(\overline{\nabla} f)$ is a holomorphic (with respect to J) Killing field on (M,g) and $(M,\bar{g})$. It is easy to see that $\xi = -J\nabla(\frac{1}{f})$. Analogously the field $\eta = \bar{J}(\overline{\nabla}^1 h)$ is a holomorphic (with respect to $\bar{J}$) Killing field on $(M,\bar{g}_1)$ and (M,g) and $\eta = -\bar{J}\nabla(\frac{1}{h})$. From Prop.1 it follows that if the scalar curvature τ of (M,g) is non-constant then both (M,g,J) and $(M,g,\bar{J})$ are ruled surfaces. Thus $\pi : M \to \Sigma$ is a holomorphic bundle over a compact Riemann surface Σ with a fiber $\mathbb{CP}^1$. Let us denote by $V := \ker d\pi$ the vertical distribution and by $H = V^\perp$ the horizontal distribution of (M,g) induced by the projection $\pi : M \to \Sigma$ and the metric g. Since both structures $J, \bar{J}$ commute with the Ricci tensor ρ of (M,g) it follows that they are determined only by the metric g. Consequently every Killing field preserve both structures. Thus Killing field ξ preserves $\bar{J}$ and η preserves J, which means that $L_\xi\bar{\Omega} = 0, L_\eta\Omega = 0$. Now we prove

Proposition 4. *Let us assume that $(M,g,J,\bar{J})$ is a compact bi-Hermitian Gray surface such that (M,g,J) is a ruled surface of genus $g > 0$. Then $\bar{J}$ is the natural opposite structure for (M,g,J) and the distribution $\mathcal{D}$ spanned by $\xi, J\xi$ is contained* *in the nullity of both* $J, \bar{J}$*. Moreover* $\mathcal{D}$ *coincides with one of the eigendistributions of the Ricci tensor* S*.*

Proof. Let us denote by $S = S_\rho$ the Ricci tensor of (M, g) and by S_0 the Killing tensor related with S_ρ. Let us recall that ruled surface different from $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$ admits only one ruling (see [B-P-V]). Thus every biholomorphic mapping ϕ must preserve the fibers of such a ruled surface, i.e. $\phi(\pi^{-1}(\pi(x))) = \pi^{-1}(y)$ where $y = \pi(\phi(x))$. It follows that the one parameter subgroups of holomorphic isometries are π-related with one parameter subgroups of biholomorphisms of Σ. Thus every holomorphic Killing vector field with zeros ξ on M is π-related with holomorphic vector field ξ_0 with zeros on Σ. Consequently if M is of genus $g > 0$ then the one-parameter subgroups of ξ, η both preserve every fiber of $\pi : M \to \Sigma$, i.e. $\xi, \eta \in \Gamma(V)$. From Lemma A it follows that $\xi = c\eta$ for some constant $c \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$. Consequently ξ belongs to the nullity of both $J, \bar{J}$, i.e. $\nabla_\xi J = \nabla_\xi \bar{J} = 0$. From Prop.2 it follows that $S_0\xi$ is a Killing vector field. Note that $S_0\xi, \xi, J\xi \in V$ which implies $S_0\xi = \lambda_0\xi$. Thus λ_0 is constant. Since $\xi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$ is an integrable eigendistribution of a Killing tensor S_0 it follows that $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$ is totally geodesic (see [J-4] p.7 Cor.1.4.). Consequently $\bar{J}$ is the natural opposite structure of J and the distribution $\mathcal{D}$ spanned by $\xi, J\xi$ is the nullity of both $J, \bar{J}$ (see [J-4] Lemma F). In particular $\mathcal{D}$ is J and $\bar{J}$ invariant, which means that it coincides with one of eigendistributions of S. On the other hand $\mathcal{D}$ coincides with a vertical distribution V (both have the same section ξ and are J -invariant). Since $S_\rho \circ J = J \circ S_\rho$ and $S_\rho \circ \bar{J} = \bar{J} \circ S_\rho$ it follows that V, H are eigendistributions of S i.e. $V = \mathcal{D}_\lambda, H = \mathcal{D}_\mu$ where λ, μ are eigenvalues of S_ρ and $\lambda = \lambda_0 + \frac{1}{3}\tau, \mu = \mu_0 + \frac{1}{3}\tau$. $\diamondsuit$

Since η, ξ are Killing fields on $\mathbb{CP}^1$ it follows that ξ has on every fiber exactly two isolated zeros (the north and south poles of a surface of revolution diffeomorphic to S^2.) Let us define $U = \{x \in M : \xi_x \neq 0\}$. Then U is an open and dense subset of M.

Our present aim is to prove

Theorem 1. *Let us assume that* $(M, g, J, \bar{J})$ *is a compact bi-Hermitian Gray surface of genus* $g > 0$*. Then* (M, g) *is locally of co-homogeneity 1 with respect to the group of all local isometries of* (M, g)*. The manifold* (U, g) *is isometric to the manifold* $(a, b) \times P_k$ *where* (P_k, g_k) *is a 3-dimensional* $\mathcal{A}$*-manifold (a circle bundle* $p : P_k \to \Sigma$ *) over a Riemannian surface* (Σ, g_{can}) *of constant sectional curvature* $K \in \{-4, 0, 4\}$ *with a metric*

$$g = dt^2 + f(t)^2\theta^2 + h(t)^2 p^* g_{can}, \tag{*}$$

where $g_k = \theta^2 + p^* g_{can}$ *and* θ *is the connection form of* P_k *such that* $d\theta = 2\pi k\ p^*\omega$*,* $\omega \in H^2(\Sigma, \mathbb{R})$ *is an integral, harmonic (hence parallel with respect to* g_{can}*) 2-form corresponding to the class* $1 \in H^2(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$*. The functions* $f, h \in C^\infty(a, b)$ *satisfy the conditions:*

(a) $f(a) = f(b) = 0, f'(a) = 1, f'(b) = -1,$ *;*

(b) $h(a) \neq 0 \neq h(b), h'(a) = h'(b) = 0,$ *.*

Proof. The best way to prove this theorem is to use the recent results contained in [A-C-G]. For a while we shall use a notation from [A-C-G]. Note that Proposition 5 yields that $J\xi = \bar{J}\xi$. Consequently for both metrics $g, \bar{g}$ the natural opposite structure for $\bar{J}$ coincides with J. It implies that the Kähler surface $(M, \bar{g}, J)$ is of Calabi type (see [A-C-G]). Note that the conformal factor f to the Kähler metric is the square of an affine function of the momentum map z of ξ with respect to $\bar{\omega}(X,Y) = \bar{g}(JX,Y)$. The scalar curvature of both these metrics is a function of the momentum map z alone (see Prop.5 below and note that $\nabla\lambda = \frac{1}{3}\nabla\tau, \nabla\mu = -\frac{1}{6}\nabla\tau$). One can also easily check using [J-4] that conformal scalar curvature κ and functions α, β also depend only on z. It follows from [A-C-G], Lemma 10, that the scalar curvature s_Σ is constant. Thus it follows from the methods of Lebrun (see Prop.13 in [A-C-G]) that both metrics $g, \bar{g}$ are local cohomogeneity 1. Consequently on the open, dense subset, where $\xi \neq 0$, the metric g is of the form $(*)$. The boundary conditions are the conditions (a),(b) in view of [B],[M-S].$\diamondsuit$

Remark Note that ω depends only on the complex structure J of a Riemannian surface Σ. The complex structure J determines a conformal class of a Riemannian metric $[g]$ such that $g(JX, JY) = g(X,Y)$. The matric g_{can} is the metric in this class of constant sectional curvature. Consequently if Σ is a Riemannian surface of genus g then every complex structure J on Σ determines a unique form ω and consequently a family of S^1-principle bundles $P_{k,\Sigma}$. Note also that it is not true in general that every local bi-Hermitian $\mathcal{AC}^\perp$-metric of non-constant scalar curvature is local cohomogeneity one metric. The counterexample gives the (non-compact) Einstein-Hermitian self-dual space (M,g) of co - homogeneity 2 constructed by Apostolov and Gauduchon in [A-G-2],Th.2. The related Kähler metric $(M,\bar{g})$ is weakly self-dual of co-homogeneity grater than 1. In fact every Killing vector field with respect to $(M,\bar{g})$ is also a Killing vector field for (M,g) (the conformal factor to an Einstein metric is the square of the scalar curvature $\bar{\tau}$ of $(M,\bar{g})$ - see [D-1], Prop.4).

We shall end this section with characterization of the eigenvalues of a bi-Hermitian Gray surface of genus $g > 0$. We show that Lie forms $\theta, \bar{\theta}$ and the difference $\lambda - \mu$ of eigenvalues of the Ricci tensor of a Hermitian Gray surface $(M, g, J, \bar{J})$ depend only on the length of tensor fields $\nabla J, \nabla \bar{J}$.

Proposition 5. *Let us assume that $(M, g, J, \bar{J})$ is a compact bi-Hermitian Gray surface such that $\bar{J}$ is the natural opposite Hermitian structure of J. Let $\theta, \bar{\theta}$ be the Lee forms of (M, g, J) and $(M, g, \bar{J})$ respectively. Then*

$$\theta = 2d\ln\frac{\gamma}{|1-\epsilon\gamma|}, \tag{2.6a}$$

$$\bar{\theta} = -2d\ln|1-\epsilon\gamma|, \tag{2.6b}$$

$$\lambda - \mu = C\frac{\gamma}{(1-\epsilon\gamma)^2}, \tag{2.6c}$$

where $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}, C \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}, \gamma = \frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \alpha = |\nabla J|, \beta = |\nabla \bar{J}|$.

Proof. From [J-4] it follows that

$$-d\ln\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\theta = -d\ln\beta - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\theta}. \tag{2.7}$$

Consequently we obtain:

$$\theta - \bar{\theta} = 2d\ln\frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \tag{2.8a}$$

$$\theta + \bar{\theta} = 2d\ln|\lambda - \mu|. \tag{2.8b}$$

Thus

$$\theta = d\ln\frac{\beta|\lambda-\mu|}{\alpha}, \bar{\theta} = d\ln\frac{\alpha|\lambda-\mu|}{\beta}. \tag{2.9}$$

On the other hand (see [J-4])

$$\beta\theta = \epsilon\alpha\bar{\theta},$$

for a certain $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$. Consequently

$$\nabla \ln|\lambda-\mu| = -(\frac{\epsilon+\gamma}{\gamma-\epsilon})\frac{d\gamma}{\gamma}.$$

It implies

$$\lambda-\mu = C\frac{\gamma}{(1-\epsilon\gamma)^2},$$

for a certain $C \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$. Now it is clear that all formulas 2.6 hold true.$\diamondsuit$

3. Bi-Hermitian Gray surfaces with genus $g \geq 1$. In this section we shall construct bi-Hermitian metrics g on ruled surfaces $(M_{k,g}, g)$ of genus g. Then, according to Th.1., $(M_{k,g}, g)$ is locally of co-homogeneity 1 with respect to the group of all local isometries of $(M_{k,g}, g)$ and an open, dense submanifold $(U_{k,g}, g) \subset (M_{k,g}, g)$ is isometric to the manifold $(a, b) \times P_k$ where (P_k, g_k) is a 3-dimensional $\mathcal{A}$-manifold (a circle bundle $p : P_k \rightarrow \Sigma_g$) over a Riemannian surface (Σ_g, g_{can}) of constant sectional curvature $K \in \{-4, 0, 4\}$ with a metric

$$g_{f,g} = dt^2 + f(t)^2\theta^2 + g(t)^2 p^* g_{can}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $g_k = \theta^2 + p^* g_{can}$ and θ is the connection form of P_k such that $p^* d\theta = 2\pi k\ \omega$, $\omega \in H^2(\Sigma_g, \mathbb{R})$ is an integral form, parallel with respect to g_{can}, corresponding to the class $1 \in H^2(\Sigma_g, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that $P_1 = G/\Gamma$, where Γ is a lattice in $G = \widetilde{SL(2, \mathbb{R})}, G = H$ or $G = SU(2), \Gamma = \{e\}$ and $P_k = \mathbb{Z}_k \backslash G/\Gamma$. Let $\theta^\sharp$ be a vector field dual to θ with respect to g_P. Let us consider a local orthonormal frame $\{X, Y\}$ on (Σ_g, g_{can}) and let X^h, Y^h be horizontal lifts of X, Y with respect to $p : M_{k,g} \rightarrow \Sigma_g$ (i.e. $dt(X^h) = \theta(X^h) = 0$ and $p(X^h) = X$) and let $H = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. Let us define two almost Hermitian structures $J, \bar{J}$ on M as follows

$$JH = \frac{1}{f}\theta^\sharp, JX^h = Y^h,\ \bar{J}H = -\frac{1}{f}\theta^\sharp, \bar{J}X^h = Y^h.$$

Proposition 6. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a distribution spanned by the fields $\{\theta^\sharp, H\}$. Then $\mathcal{D}$ is a totally geodesic foliation with respect to the metric $g_{f,g}$. Both structures $J, \bar{J}$ are Hermitian and $\mathcal{D}$ is contained in the nullity of J and $\bar{J}$. The distribution $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ is umbilical with the mean curvature normal $\xi = -\nabla \ln g$. Let λ, μ be eigenvalues of the Ricci tensor S of $g_{f,g}$ corresponding to eigendistributions $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\perp$ respectively. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(a) There exists $E \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lambda - \mu = Eg^2$,
(b) There exist $C, D \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mu = Cg^2 + D$,
(c) $\lambda - 2\mu$ is constant,
(d) $(U_{k,g}, g_{f,g})$ is a bi-Hermitian Gray surface.

Proof. The first part our Proposition is a consequence of [J-2]. Note that $\nabla\lambda = H\lambda H, \nabla\mu = H\mu H$. Consequently $tr_g\nabla S = \frac{1}{2}\nabla\tau = (H\lambda + H\mu)H$. On the other hand one can easily check that $tr_g\nabla S = 2(\mu-\lambda)\xi + H\lambda H$. Thus

$$\frac{\nabla\mu}{2(\lambda-\mu)} = \nabla\ln g.$$

Now we prove that (a) ⇒ (b). If (a) holds then $\nabla\mu = 2Eg^2\frac{\nabla g}{g} = E\nabla g^2$. Thus $\nabla(\mu - Eg^2) = 0$ which implies (b).

(b)⇒ (a). We have

$$-\frac{\nabla g}{g} = \frac{\nabla\mu}{2(\mu-\lambda)} = \frac{Cg\nabla g}{\mu-\lambda},$$

and consequently $\nabla g(\frac{Cg^2+\mu-\lambda}{g(\mu-\lambda)}) = 0$ which is equivalent to (b).

(a)⇒(c). We have $\lambda-\mu = Eg^2$ and consequently $\nabla\mu = 2Eg\nabla g = E\nabla g^2$. Thus $\nabla\lambda = \nabla(\mu + Eg^2) = 2E\nabla g^2$ and $\nabla\lambda - 2\nabla\mu = 0$ which gives (c).

(c)⇒ (a). If $\nabla\lambda = 2\nabla\mu$ then $\nabla\lambda = 4(\lambda-\mu)\frac{\nabla g}{g}$. Consequently $\nabla\lambda - \nabla\mu = 2(\lambda-\mu)\frac{\nabla g}{g}$ and $\nabla\ln|\lambda-\mu| = 2\frac{\nabla g}{g} = 2\nabla\ln g$, which means that $\nabla\ln|\lambda-\mu|g^{-2} = 0$. It follows that $\ln\frac{|\lambda-\mu|}{g^2} = C$ for some $C\in\mathbb{R}$, which is equivalent to (a).

(d)⇔(c). This equivalence follows from [J-3]. ♢

Theorem 2 *On any ruled surface $M_{k,g}$ of genus $g>0$ with $k>0$ there exist a one-parameter family of Hermitian $\mathcal{AC}^{\perp}$-metrics $\{g_x : x\in(0,1)\}$ which contains all bi-Hermitian Gray metrics on $M_{k,g}$.*

Proof. Note that for the first Chern class $c_1(\Sigma_g)\in H^2(\Sigma_g,\mathbb{Z})$ of the complex curve Σ_g we have the relation $c_1(\Sigma_g) = \chi\alpha$, where $\alpha\in H^2(\Sigma_g,\mathbb{Z})$ is an indivisible integral class and $\chi = 2-2g$ is the Euler characteristic of Σ_g. Let us write $s = \frac{2k}{|\chi|}$ if $g\neq 1$ and $s = k$ if $g = 1$. Then it is easy to show that the manifold $(M_{k,g}, g)$ with the metric g given by $(*)$ has the Ricci tensor with the following eigenvalues :

$$\lambda_0 = -2\frac{g''}{g} - \frac{f''}{f}, \tag{3.1a}$$

$$\lambda_1 = -\frac{f''}{f} - 2\frac{f'g'}{fg} + 2s^2\frac{f^2}{g^4}, \tag{3.1b}$$

$$\lambda_2 = -\frac{g''}{g} - \frac{f'g'}{fg} - (\frac{g'}{g})^2 - 2s^2\frac{f^2}{g^4} + \frac{K}{g^2}, \tag{3.1c}$$

where λ_0, λ_1, correspond to eigenfields $T = \frac{d}{dt}, \theta^{\sharp}$ and λ_2 corresponds to a two-dimensional eigendistribution orthogonal to T and $\theta^{\sharp}$. If $(M,g)\in\mathcal{AC}^{\perp}$ is a bi-Hermitian Gray surface then $\lambda_0 = \lambda_1 = \lambda$ and, if we denote $\mu = \lambda_2$, Prop.6 and [J-3] imply an equation

$$\mu = Dg^2 - C \tag{3.2}$$

for some $D, C\in\mathbb{R}$. Since $\lambda_0 = \lambda_1$ we get

$$f = \pm\frac{gg'}{\sqrt{s^2 + Ag^2}}. \tag{3.3}$$

Using a homothety of the metric we can assume that $A \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. In the case $A = 0$ we get a weakly-self-dual Kähler metric and these metrics on compact complex surfaces are classified (see [A-C-G]). So we restrict our considerations to the case $A \in \{-1, 1\}$. Now we introduce a function h such that $h^2 = s^2 + Ag^2$. Note that $\mathrm{im}h \subset (-s, s)$ if $A = -1$ and $\mathrm{im}h \subset (s, \infty)$. if $A = 1$. Then $g = \sqrt{|s^2 - h^2|}$. Let us introduce a function z, such that $h' = \sqrt{z(h)}$. Note that

$$f = h' \text{ and } f' = \frac{1}{2}z'(h). \tag{3.4}$$

It follows that equation (3.2) is equivalent to

$$z'(h) - z(h)\frac{s^2 + h^2}{h(s^2 - h^2)} = \frac{4\epsilon}{h} + \frac{D(s^2 - h^2)^2}{h} - \frac{C(s^2 - h^2)}{h}, \tag{3.5}$$

where $\epsilon = \mathrm{sgn}KA \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} z(h) = (1 - (\frac{h}{s})^2)^{-1}(-4\epsilon(\frac{h}{s})^2 - \frac{Ds^4}{5}(\frac{h}{s})^6 + (Ds^4 - \frac{Cs^2}{3})(\frac{h}{s})^4 + \\ +(2Cs^2 - 3Ds^4)(\frac{h}{s})^2 - 4\epsilon + Cs^2 - Ds^4 + \frac{E}{s}\frac{h}{s}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Let us denote again $C = Cs^2, D = Ds^4, E = \frac{E}{s}$ and let

$$z_0(t) = (1-t^2)^{-1}(-4\epsilon(1+t^2)+D(-\frac{1}{5}t^6+t^4-3t^2-1)+C(-\frac{1}{3}t^4+2t^2+1)+Et) \tag{3.8}$$

Write

$$P(t) = (-4\epsilon t^2 - \frac{D}{5}t^6 + (D - \frac{C}{3})t^4 + (2C - 3D)t^2 + Et - 4\epsilon + C - D). \tag{3.9}$$

Then $z_0(t) = \frac{P(t)}{1-t^2}$. Note that $z(h) = z_0(\frac{h}{s})$ and $z'(h) = \frac{1}{s}z_0'(\frac{h}{s})$. We are looking for real numbers $x > y \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$z_0(x) = 0, z_0'(x) = -2s, \tag{3.10a}$$

$$z_0(y) = 0, z_0'(y) = 2s, \tag{3.10b}$$

and $z(t) > 0$ for $t \in (y, x)$. Note that equations (3.10a) are equivalent to

$$-4\epsilon x^2 - \frac{D}{5}x^6 + (D - \frac{C}{3})x^4 + (2C - 3D)x^2 - 4\epsilon + C - D + Ex = 0 \tag{3.11a}$$

$$-8\epsilon x - \frac{6D}{5}x^5 + 4(D - \frac{C}{3})x^3 + 2(2C - 3D)x + E = -2s(1 - x^2). \tag{3.11b}$$

Equations (3.11) yield

$$D = \frac{5(-3E - 6s - 24\epsilon x + 3Ex^2 - 12sx^2 - 8\epsilon x^3 + 2sx^4)}{2(-1 + x)x(1 + x)(15 + 10x^2 - x^4)}, \tag{3.12a}$$

(3.12b)

$$C = \frac{3(5E + 10s + 80\epsilon x + 30sx^2 - 10Ex^2 + 5Ex^4 - 10sx^4 - 16\epsilon x^5 + 2sx^6)}{2(-1 + x)x(1 + x)(-15 - 10x^2 + x^4)}$$

Solving in a similar way equations (3.10b) one can see that there exists a function z_0 satisfying the equations (3.10) if

$$\begin{aligned}(x+y)(-4\epsilon(-5x+x^3+5y+2x^2y-2xy^2-y^3)\\ +s(5+2x^3y+2xy^3+3y^2+3x^2+x^2y^2-16xy))=0,\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

where $x>y$, $x,y\in(-1,1)$ in the case $A=-1$ and $x,y\in(1,\infty)$ in the case $A=1$. Using standard methods one can check that in the case of the genus $g\geq 1$ (i.e. if $K=-4$ or $K=0$) the only solutions of (3.13) giving a positive function z are $x=-y\in(0,1)$. In the case $g=0, K=4$ apart from the solutions with $x=-y$ (see [J-3]) there are two additional families of solutions with $\epsilon=1$ and $\epsilon=-1$ on the first Hirzebruch surface F_1 and one additional family with $\epsilon=-1$ on the second Hirzebruch surface F_2.

It follows that if $g\geq 1$ then $x=-y, E=0$ and $\epsilon=1$ or $\epsilon=0$. Consequently

$$\begin{aligned}&P(t)\\ &=\frac{1}{x(15-5x^2-11x^4+x^6)}((t^2-x^2)(s(-15+10x^2-3x^4+t^2(10+12x^2-6x^4)\\ &+t^4(-3-6x^2+x^4))+4\epsilon x(x^2(-5+x^2)-t^4(3+x^2)+t^2(5+2x^2+x^4)))).\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Thus

$$P(0)=\frac{-4x^4(x^2-5)+sx(15-10x^2+3x^4)}{15-5x^2-11x^4+x^6}$$

and $P(t)>0$ if $t\in(0,x)$ for all $x\in(0,1)$. Now the function $z_0(t)=\frac{1}{(1-t^2)}P(t)$ is positive on $(-x,x), x\in(0,1)$. If $x\in(0,1)$ then there exists a solution $h:(-a,a)\to(-sx,sx)$, where

$$a=\lim_{t\to sx^-}\int_0^t\frac{dh}{\sqrt{z_0(\frac{h}{s})}},$$

of an equation

$$h'=\sqrt{z_0(\frac{h}{s})},$$

such that $h(-a)=-sx, h(a)=sx, h'(-a)=h'(a)=0, h''(-a)=1, h''(a)=-1$. It follows that functions $f=h', g=\sqrt{s^2-h^2}$ are smooth on $(-a,a)$ and satisfy the boundary conditions described in Th.2. Consequently the metric

$$g_x=dt^2+f(t)^2\theta^2+g(t)^2p^*g_{can},$$

on the manifold $(-a,a)\times P_k$ extends to the smooth metric on the compact ruled surface $M=P_k\times_{S^1}S^2$ which is a 2−sphere bundle over Riemannian surface Σ_g. Note that $g(-a)=g(a)=s\sqrt{1-x^2}$ and that our construction is valid for all $x\in(0,1)$. ♢

Theorem 3. *There are no irreduciblr bi-Hermitian Gray-metrics on trivial ruled surfaces* $M_{0,g}=\mathbb{CP}^1\times\Sigma_g$ *with* $g>0$.

Proof. Now we consider Gray metrics on the product $\mathbb{CP}^1\times\Sigma_g$. Then, $K=-4, s=0$ and we can take $g=h, f=h'$. Consequently $z(h)=-4+\frac{D}{5}h^4+\frac{C}{3}h^2+\frac{E}{h}$.

For simplicity let us write $D = \frac{D}{5}, C = \frac{C}{3}$. Then $z(h) = -4 + Dh^4 + Ch^2 + \frac{E}{h}$. We are looking for solutions of an equation $h' = \sqrt{z(h)}$ satisfying initial conditions $h(a) = x, h(b) = y$ where

$$z(x) = 0, z'(x) = 2, z(y) = 0, z'(y) = -2 \tag{3.15}$$

for some unknown $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $0 < x < y$. Equation (3.15) yields

$$D = -\frac{4}{x^2y^2} + \frac{E(x^3 - y^3)}{x^3y^3(x^2 - y^2)}, C = E\frac{y^5 - x^5}{x^3y^3(x^2 - y^2)} + 4\frac{x^2 + y^2}{x^2y^2}, \tag{3.16a}$$

(3.16b)

$$D = E\frac{y^3 - x^3}{4x^3y^3(x^2 - y^2)} + \frac{1}{2xy(x - y)}, C = E\frac{x^5 - y^5}{2x^3y^3(x^2 - y^2)} - \frac{x^3 + y^3}{xy(x^2 - y^2)}.$$

It follows that equations (3.16) have a solution if and only if

$$E = \frac{2}{5}\frac{xy(y + x)}{x^3 - y^3}(8(x - y) + xy) = \frac{2}{3}\frac{xy}{x^5 - y^5}(4(x^4 - y^4) + xy(x^3 + y^3)).$$

If $y = \alpha x$ where $\alpha \neq 1$ then we obtain

$$x = \frac{-4(\alpha - 1)(\alpha^2 + 3\alpha + 1)}{\alpha(\alpha^2 + \alpha + 2)}.$$

Since $0 < x < y$ and $\alpha > 1$ we get a contradiction. Consequently there are no irreducible Gray metrics on the trivial ruled surface $M = \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \Sigma_g$, where $g > 1$.

We shall finish by investigating Gray metrics on the surface $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times T^2$. Now $z(h) = \frac{D}{5}h^4 + \frac{C}{3}h^2 + \frac{E}{h}$. For simplicity let us write $D = \frac{D}{5}, C = \frac{C}{3}$. Then $z(h) = Dh^4 + Ch^2 + \frac{E}{h}$. We are looking for solutions satisfying initial conditions (3.15). It follows analogously as above that equations (3.15) have a solution if and only if

$$E = \frac{2}{5}\frac{x^2y^2(y + x)}{x^3 - y^3} = \frac{2}{3}\frac{x^2y^2(x^3 + y^3)}{x^5 - y^5}. \tag{3.17}$$

Consequently, if $y = \alpha x$ then we obtain $(\alpha + 1)(\alpha - 1)^3(2\alpha^2 + \alpha + 2) = 0$, where $\alpha > 1$. It follows that there are no irreducible Gray metrics on the trivial ruled surface $M = \mathbb{CP}^1 \times T^2$.$\diamondsuit$

4. Hermitian Gray structures on $\mathbb{CP}^2$.

In this section we give examples of $\mathcal{A}C^{\perp}$-4-manifolds (M, g) whose Ricci tensor ρ has two eigenvalues λ, μ such that only one from two natural complex structures defined by the Killing tensor $\rho - \frac{1}{3}\tau g$ on the subset $U = \{x \in M : \lambda(x) \neq \mu(x)\}$ extends to the complex structure on the whole of the manifold M. Let us denote by J the standard complex structure of a projective space $\mathbb{CP}^2$. As in [M-S], [J-3] by $L(k, 1)$ (where $k \in \mathbb{N}$) we shall denote the Lens spaces. The manifolds $L(k, 1)$ are the circle bundles over $\mathbb{CP}^1$. Then $\mathbb{CP}^2$ is the space of cohomogeneity 1 under an action of $U(2)$ with principal orbit $P = L(k, 1)$ (with $k = 1$) and two special orbits: $\mathbb{CP}^1$ and a point (i.e. $\mathbb{CP}^2 = [\mathbb{CP}^1|S^3|*]$). Let us denote by η the only real eigenvalue of the polynomial $S(x) = x^3 + 5x^2 + 75x + 59$.

Then $\eta = -\frac{5}{3} - \frac{100\sqrt[3]{4}}{3(383+129\sqrt{129})} + \sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{3}(2(383+129\sqrt{129}))} = -0.8245.....$ Now our aim is to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 4. *On the surface $\mathbb{CP}^2$ there exist two one-parameter families of Hermitian $\mathcal{AC}^{\perp}$-metrics $\{g_x : x \in T = (\eta, 1) \cup (1, \infty)\}$. The Ricci tensor $\rho = \rho_x$ of $(\mathbb{CP}^2, g_x)$ is Hermitian with respect to the standard complex structure of $\mathbb{CP}^2$ and has two eigenvalues, which coincide in an exactly one point. Every co-homogeneity one $\mathcal{AC}^{\perp}$-metric with J-invariant Ricci tensor on $\mathbb{CP}^2$ is homothetic to one of g_x where $x \in T$.*

Proof. We shall retain the notation of section 3. Note that the genus $g = 0$ and all formulas (3.1)-(3.7) remain valid for this case, however the boundary conditions will be different. We shall find the conditions on f, g to extend the metric (3.1) on the whole of $\mathbb{CP}^2$. The metric (3.1) extends to the metric on $[\mathbb{CP}^1|S^3|*]$ if and only if the boundary conditions are as follows:

$$f(b) = g(b) = 0, f'(b) = g'(b) = -\epsilon, \tag{4.1a}$$

$$g(a) \neq 0, g'(a) = 0, f(a) = 0, f'(a) = 1. \tag{4.1b}$$

At first we shall consider $(4.1a)$. We get $h(b) = 1$ and consequently $z_0(1) = 0, z_0'(1) = -2k\epsilon$ where $z_0(t) = \frac{P(t)}{1-t^2}$ and $P(t) = (-4\epsilon(1+t^2) + D(-\frac{1}{5}t^6 + t^4 - 3t^2 - 1) + C(-\frac{1}{3}t^4 + t^2 + 1) + Et)$. Equations (4.1a) imply $E = -\frac{8}{15}(5C - 6D + 15\epsilon)$. Then $z_0'(1) = -2\epsilon$ and consequently $k = 1, z = z_0$. Now we consider (4.1b). We have to find $x \in (-1, 1)$ if $\epsilon = 1$ or $x \in (1, \infty)$ if $\epsilon = -1$ such that $g(a) = x, z(x) = 0, z'(x) = 2\epsilon$. Then $f(a) = 0, f'(a) = 1, g(a) = \sqrt{|1 - x^2|} \neq 0, g'(a) = 0$. These equations are equivalent to

$$D = \frac{-5\epsilon}{4 + x - 4x^2 - x^3},\ C = \frac{3\epsilon(7 + 4x - x^2)}{(-1+x)(1+x)(4+x)}.$$

Consequently

$$z_x(t) = \frac{\epsilon(t-1)(t-x)(t^3 + t^2(2+x) + t(5+6x) + 8 + 13x + 4x^2))}{(1+t)(x-1)(1+x)(4+x)}.$$

Using elementary calculations one can prove that the polynomial $Q_x(t) = (t^3 + t^2(2+x) + t(5+6x) + 8 + 13x + 4x^2))$ is positive for $t \in (x, 1)$ where $x < 1$ if and only if $x \in (\eta, 1)$ where $\eta = -\frac{5}{3} - \frac{100\sqrt[3]{4}}{3(383+129\sqrt{129})} + \sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{3}(2(383+129\sqrt{129}))} = -0.8245....$ is the only real eigenvalue of the polynomial $S(x) = x^3 + 5x^2 + 75x + 59$. Now it is clear that if $x \in (\eta, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$ then the function $z_x(t)$ has exactly two roots $x, 1$ in one of the intervals $[x, 1]$ and $[1, x]$ respectively and in both considered cases $z_x(t) > 0$ if $t \in (x, 1)$ or $t \in (1, x)$ respectively. If h is a solution of an equation $h' = z_x(h)$ satisfying the boundary conditions $h(a) = x, h(b) = 1$ then it is easy to verify that functions $f = h'$ and $g = \sqrt{|1 - h^2|}$ are positive in (a, b) and satisfy equations (3.2) and boundary conditions (4.1). Consequently our metric defined on $(a, b) \times S^3$ extends to the Gray metric on $\mathbb{CP}^2$. From the construction it is also clear that the Ricci tensor of $(\mathbb{CP}^2, g_x)$ is invariant with respect to the standard complex structure J of $\mathbb{CP}^2$ and that the opposite complex structure id defined everywhere except the point corresponding to the degenerate orbit $*$.

References.

[A-C-G] V. Apostolov, D. Calderbank and P. Gauduchon *The geometry of weakly selfdual Kähler surfaces* Compositio Math. 135: 279-322,2003.

[A-G-1] V. Apostolov and P. Gauduchon *Self-dual Einstein Hermitian four-manifolds* Ann. Scoula Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci (5), vol.I(2002),203-243.

[A-G-G] V. Apostolov, P. Gauduchon and P. Grantcharov *Bi-Hermitian structures in complex surfaces* Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 79 (1999), 414-428.

[A-G-2] V. Apostolov and P. Gauduchon *The Riemannian Goldberg-Sachs theorem* Int. J. Math. 8,(1997),421-439

[B] Bérard-Bergery, L.*Sur de nouvelles variétés riemanniennes d'Einstein*, Institut Élie Cartan,6, Univ. Nancy, (1982), 1-60.

[Be] A. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Springer Verlag, 1987.

[Bo] C.P.Boyer, *Conformal duality and compact Complex Surfaces* Math. Ann. 274, 517-526 (1986).

[D-1] A. Derdziński ,*Self-dual Kähler manifolds and Einstein manifolds of dimension four*, Compositio Math. 49, (1983), 405-433.

[D-2] A. Derdziński ,*Exemples de métriques de Kähler et d'Einstein auto-duales sur le plan complexe*, Géométrie riemannienne en dimension 4 (Séminaire Arthur Besse 1978-1979) Cedic-Fernand Nathan, Paris (1981), pp. 334-346.

[G] A. Gray *Einstein-like manifolds which are not Einstein* Geom. Dedicata **7** (1978) 259-280.

[J-1] W. Jelonek, *Compact Kähler surfaces with harmonic anti-self-dual Weyl tensor*, Diff. Geom. and Appl. 16, (2002), 267-276.

[J-2] W. Jelonek, *Extremal Kähler $\mathcal{AC}^{\perp}$-surfaces*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. 9 (2002), 561-571.

[J-3] W. Jelonek, *Bi-hermitian Gray surfaces*, Pacific J. Math. 222 no. 1, 57-68 , 2005

[J-4] W. Jelonek *Einstein Hermitian and anti-Hermitian surfaces* Ann. Polon. Math. 81.1 (2003) ,7-24.

[K] T. Koda, *A remark on the manifold $\mathbb{CP}^2 \sharp \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$ with Bérard-Bergery's metric*, Ann. of Global Analysis and Geom. **11** (1993), 323-329.

[LeB] C. LeBrun *Einstein metrics on complex surfaces*, in Geometry and Physics (Aarhus 1995) eds. J. Andersen, J. Dupont, H. Pedersen and A. Swann, Lect. notes in Pure Appl. Math., Marcel Dekker, 1996.

[P] D. Page, *A compact rotating gravitational instanton*, Phys. Lett.79 **B** (1978), 235-238.

[M-S] B.Madsen, H. Pedersen, Y. Poon, A. Swann *Compact Einstein-Weyl manifolds with large symmetry group.* Duke Math. J. **88** (1997), 407-434.

[Po] M. Pontecorvo , *Complex structures on Riemannian four-manifolds* Math. Ann. 309 (1997), no. 1, 159-177.

[S] P. Sentenac ,*Construction d'une métriques d'Einstein sur la somme de deux projectifs complexes de dimension 2*, Géométrie riemannienne en dimension 4 (Séminaire Arthur Besse 1978-1979) Cedic-Fernand Nathan, Paris (1981), pp. 292-307.

[V] I. Vaisman *On locally and globally conformal Kähler manifolds* Trans.Amer. Math. Soc **262** (1980), 533-542.

Institute of Mathematics
Technical University of Cracow
Warszawska 24
31-155 Krak/ow,POLAND.
E-mail address: wjelon@usk.pk.edu.pl